arXiv:1509.08722v1 [math.AG] 29 Sep 2015

ON BIRATIONAL BOUNDEDNESS OF FANO FIBRATIONS

CHEN JIANG

Abstract. We investigate birational boundedness of Fano varieties and Fano fibrations. We establish an inductive step towards birational boundedness of Fano fibrations via conjectures related to boundedness of Fano varieties and Fano fibrations. As corollaries, we provide approaches towards birational boundedness and boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of varieties of ϵ-Fano type. Furthermore, we show birational boundedness of 3-folds of ϵ-Fano type.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Conjectures and historical remarks 3
1.2. Sketch of the proof 4
2. Preliminaries 6
2.1. Notation and conventions 6
2.2. (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibrations 6
2.3. Volumes 8
2.4. Non-klt centers, connectedness lemma, and inversion of adjunction 8
2.5. Length of extremal rays 9
3. Boundedness of birationality 10
4. Boundedness of volumes 13
5. Lower bound of log canonical thresholds in dimension two 15
6. Proof of theorems and corollaries 18
References 20

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. See Subsection 2.1 for notation and conventions.

A normal projective variety X is *of ϵ-Fano type* if there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B such that (X, B) is an ϵ-klt log Fano pair.

We are mainly interested in the boundedness of varieties of ϵ-Fano type. Our motivation is the following conjecture due to A. Borisov, L. Borisov, and V. Alexeev.

Date: September 29, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E30, 14C20, 14J30, 14J45.

Key words and phrases. log Fano varieties, Mori fibrations, linear systems, boundedness.

The author was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (KAKENHI No. 25-6549).

Conjecture 1.1 (BAB_n)**.** *Fix an integer $n > 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then the set of all n-dimensional varieties of ϵ-Fano type is bounded.*

BAB_n is one of the most important conjectures in birational geometry and it is related to the termination of flips. Besides, since varieties of Fano type form a fundamental class in birational geometry according to Minimal Model Program, it is very interesting to understand the basic properties of this class, such as boundedness.

BAB_2 was proved by Alexeev [1] with a simplified argument by Alexeev–Mori [3]. $\mathrm{BAB}_{\geq 3}$ is still open. There are only some partial boundedness results (cf. [6, 18, 14, 19, 2]).

As the approach to this conjecture, we are also interested in the following conjecture, where we consider birational boundedness instead of boundedness.

Conjecture 1.2 (BBAB_n)**.** *Fix an integer $n > 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. The set of all n-dimensional varieties of ϵ-Fano type is birationally bounded.*

$\mathrm{BBAB}_{\geq 3}$ is still open, but BBAB_2 holds even without the assumption $\epsilon > 0$, since all surfaces of Fano type is rational (cf. [3]). However, in dimension three and higher, it is necessary to assume $\epsilon > 0$ due to counterexamples constructed by Lin [22] and Okada [24, 25].

Besides the boundedness of the families, we are also interested in the boundedness of special invariants of the families. One of the most interesting invariants is the anti-canonical volume.

Conjecture 1.3 (WBAB_n)**.** *Fix an integer $n > 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $M(n, \epsilon)$ depending only on n and ϵ with the following property:*

If X is an n-dimensional variety of ϵ-Fano type, then $\mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X) \leq M(n, \epsilon)$.

$\mathrm{WBAB}_{\leq 3}$ was proved by the author [12] very recently, while $\mathrm{WBAB}_{\geq 4}$ is still open.

See Subsection 1.1 for more conjectures related to boundedness, such as TBAB, GA, and LCTB.

Then main goal of this paper is to investigate BBAB_n. As shown in [12], according to Minimal Model Program, it suffices to investigate varieties of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration (see Theorem 6.1). We define the concept of an (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibration which is a natural generalization of a variety of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration, see Definition 2.2.

It is expected that the boundedness of fibrations follows from that of bases and general fibers, and some additional boundedness information on the ambient spaces. The following is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. *Fix integers $n > m > 0$ and $d > 0$, a rational number $a \geq 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Assume GA_{n-m}, LCTB_{n-1}, and BrTBAB_{n-m} hold. Then there exist a positive integer $N(n, d, a, \epsilon)$ and a number $V(n, d, a, \epsilon)$ depending only on n, d, a, and ϵ, satisfying the following property:*

If $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is a special (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibration with $\dim Z = m$, then

(i) *$|-r_{n-m}K_X + N(n, d, a, \epsilon)f^*(H)|$ is ample and gives a birational map;*

(ii) $\mathrm{Vol}(X, -r_{n-m}K_X + N(n,d,a,\epsilon)f^*(H)) \leq V(n,d,a,\epsilon)$.

In particular, the set of such X forms a birationally bounded family.

Here r_{n-m} is an integer such that for any $(n-m)$-dimensional terminal Fano variety Y, $|-r_{n-m}K_Y|$ gives a birational map. The existence of such integer is implied by BrTBAB_{n-m}, see Conjecture 1.11(3). See Subsection 1.1 for conjectures assumed in the theorem.

As corollaries, we establish inductive steps towards BBAB_n and WBAB_n.

Corollary 1.5. *Assume* $\mathrm{BAB}_{\leq n-1}$, BTBAB_n, LCTB_{n-1}, $\mathrm{GA}_{\leq n-1}$, *and* S_n *hold. Then* BBAB_n *holds.*

Corollary 1.6. *Assume* $\mathrm{BAB}_{\leq n-1}$, WTBAB_n, $\mathrm{GA}_{\leq n-1}$, *and* S_n *hold. Then* WBAB_n *holds.*

Note that Corollary 1.6 was indicated in [12], but not clearly stated.

As corollaries, we prove BBAB_3 and WBAB_3 unconditionally by proving the conjectures we need in lower dimension.

Theorem 1.7. LCTB_2 *holds.*

Corollary 1.8. BBAB_3 *holds. That is, for an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, the set of all 3-folds of ϵ-Fano type is birationally bounded.*

Corollary 1.9 ([12])**.** WBAB_3 *holds. That is, for an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a number $M(3,\epsilon)$ such that for a 3-fold X of ϵ-Fano type,* $\mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X) \leq M(3,\epsilon)$.

1.1. **Conjectures and historical remarks.** In this subsection, we collect conjectures related to boundedness of Fano varieties.

It is enough interesting to consider the boundedness of terminal Fano varieties.

Conjecture 1.10. *Fix an integer n.*

(1) (TBAB_n) *The set of all n-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal Fano varieties of Picard number one is bounded.*
(2) (BTBAB_n) *The set of all n-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal Fano varieties of Picard number one is birationally bounded.*

TBAB_3 was proved by Kawamata [14].

Conjecture 1.11. *Fix an integer n.*

(1) (WTBAB_n) *There exists a number $M_0(n)$ depending only on n such that if X is an n-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal Fano variety of Picard number one, then $(-K_X)^n \leq M_0(n)$.*
(2) (BrTBAB_n) *There exists an integer r_n depending only on n such that if X is an n-dimensional terminal Fano variety, then $|-r_nK_X|$ gives a birational map.*

Note that boundedness of the family naturally implies boundedness of anti-canonical volumes and birationality by generic flatness and Noetherian induction. Some effective results were considered, for example, in [26, 7] which show that we may take $M_0(3) = 64$ and $r_3 = 97$. However, all the boundedness results for terminal Fano 3-folds heavily rely on classification

of terminal singularities in dimension three. So it is interesting to develop methods not depending on classification and work for higher dimension.

Another interesting invariant is α-invariant (see Subsection 2.1 for definition). It measures the singularities of log Fano pairs. In [12], we formulated the following conjecture on lower bound of α-invariants.

Conjecture 1.12 (GA_n)**.** *Fix an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $\mu(n, \epsilon) > 0$ depending only on n and ϵ with the following property:*

If (X, B) is an ϵ-klt log Fano pair and X is an n-dimensional terminal Fano variety, then $\alpha(X, B) \geq \mu(n, \epsilon)$.

Very recently, GA_2 was proved by the author [12] under general setting when X itself need not to be Fano. However, GA_n is not likely to be implied by BAB_n since the boundary B is involved. It is even not clear if GA_n is true for a fixed variety X (and all possible boundaries B).

We also propose the following conjecture, which states that the log canonical threshold of the boundary is bounded from below uniformly. This is somehow very technical, but very important in this paper.

Conjecture 1.13 (LCTB_n)**.** *Fix integers $n > 0$ and $d > 0$, a rational number $a \geq 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $\lambda(n, d, a, \epsilon) > 0$ depending only on n, d, a, and ϵ, satisfying the following property:*

If $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is a good (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibration, then $(X, (1+t)B)$ is klt for $0 < t \leq \lambda(n, d, a, \epsilon)$.

It interesting to make a comparison between GA and LCTB. In both conjectures, we are trying to measure the singularities of the pairs by log canonical thresholds of certain divisors. But they go to two different directions. In GA, we consider divisor $G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + B)$, which is ample, but we need to consider all such divisors. In LCTB, we consider only the boundary, but without any positivity.

We also expect the following conjecture on the images of varieties of ϵ-Fano type via Mori fibrations.

Conjecture 1.14 (S_n)**.** *Fix an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $\delta(n, \epsilon) > 0$ depending only on n and ϵ such that if X is an n-dimensional variety of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration $X \to Z$, then Z is of $\delta(n, \epsilon)$-Fano type.*

Note that S_n is a special consequence of Shokurov's conjecture in Birkar [4], where he proved S_n for the case $\dim X - \dim Z = 1$ unconditionally.

Finally, as a trivial remark, all conjectures mentioned above hold for $n = 1$.

1.2. **Sketch of the proof.** We explain the idea of the proof of Corollary 1.5. By the idea of [12], maybe well known to experts, to prove birational boundedness of varieties of ϵ-Fano type, it is enough to consider those with a Mori fibration structure, see Theorem 6.1.

Now we consider an ϵ-klt log Fano pair (X, B) with a Mori fibration $f : X \to Z$.

To prove the birational boundedness of X, as a well known strategy, it suffices to find a Weil divisor D on X such that $|D|$ gives a birational map on

X, and the volume of D is bounded from above uniformly (cf. [10, Lemma 2.4.2(2)]).

A natural candidate of this divisor D is $-mK_X$, the pluri-anti-canonical divisor. For example, to prove the birational boundedness of smooth projective varieties of general type, pluri-canonical divisor mK_X is considered, cf. [9, 28, 29]. But the behavior of anti-canonical divisors is totally different from canonical divisors, for example, after taking higher models, the bigness of anti-canonical divisors is not preserved. Another candidate is the adjoint pluri-anti-canonical divisor $-m(K_X+B)$. For example, to prove the birational boundedness of log canonical pairs of general type, adjoint pluri-canonical divisor $m(K_X + B)$ is considered, cf. [11]. However, to deal with log canonical pairs of general type, one need always to assume some good condition (DCC) on the coefficients of B, on the other hand, for log Fano pairs, we should not assume any condition on the coefficients of B, which makes the problem more complicated. In fact, it is somehow very difficult even to find a uniform number m such that $|-mK_X|$ or $|-m(K_X+B)|$ is nonempty.

Our idea is to make use of the Mori fibration structure $f : X \to Z$, assuming that it is not trivial, i.e., $\dim Z > 0$. In this case, we may find a very ample divisor H on Z. The degree of H is bounded if Z is bounded, which is guaranteed by assuming S_n and $\mathrm{BAB}_{\leq n-1}$. Then we may consider the divisor $-rK_X+mf^*(H)$ instead of $-mK_X$ for some fixed positive integer r.

Note that $-rK_X + mf^*(H)$ is ample for m sufficiently large. If $-rK_X + mf^*(H)$ is ample for some uniform m, then by vanishing theorem, it is easy to lift sections from a general member X_1 in $|f^*(H)|$ to X. This allows us to cut down the dimension of Z by H and reduce to the case when Z is a point, see Lemma 3.3. But one difficulty appears here, that is, $(X_1, B|_{X_1})$ is no long log Fano. Hence for induction, we need to deal with a bigger category of varieties. This is why we define the concept of (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibrations which is a generalization of ϵ-klt log Fano pairs with a Mori fibration. The induction step works well for this bigger category.

Then we need to find a uniform number L such that $-K_X + Lf^*(H)$ is ample. To this end, for a curve C generating an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X)$, we need to give a lower bound of $-K_X \cdot C$. Note that

$$-K_X \cdot C > \frac{1}{t}(K_X + (1+t)B) \cdot C,$$

by length of extremal rays, it suffices to show that there exists a uniform $t > 0$ such that $(X, (1+t)B)$ is klt. The existence of such t is implied by LCTB_n. However, since we only need to consider those C not contracted by f, by using length of extremal rays in a tricky way, it turns out that LCTB_{n-1} is sufficient, see Lemma 3.2.

Finally, if $|-rK_X+mf^*(H)|$ gives a birational map on X for fixed r and m, we need to bound its volume. The idea is basically the same with [12]. According to the volume, we may construct non-klt centers, and restrict on the general fiber of f. By considering the lower bound of α-invariants on the general fiber ($\mathrm{GA}_{\leq n-1}$), we can get the upper bound of volumes, see Theorem 4.1.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Chenyang Xu for suggesting this problem and discussion. The author is indebted to Professors Yujiro Kawamata for effective conversations.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notation and conventions.** We adopt the standard notation and definitions in [15] and [20], and will freely use them.

A *pair* (X, B) consists of a normal projective variety X and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B on X such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

The variety X is called a *Fano variety* if $-K_X$ is ample. The pair (X, B) is called a *log Fano pair* if $-(K_X + B)$ is ample.

Let $f : Y \to X$ be a log resolution of the pair (X, B), write

$$K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_i F_i,$$

where $\{F_i\}$ are distinct prime divisors. The coefficient a_i is called the *discrepancy* of F_i with respect to (X, B), and denoted by $a_{F_i}(X, B)$. For some $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$, the pair (X, B) is called

(a) ϵ-*kawamata log terminal* (ϵ-*klt*, for short) if $a_i > -1 + \epsilon$ for all i;
(b) ϵ-*log canonical* (ϵ-*lc*, for short) if $a_i \geq -1 + \epsilon$ for all i;
(c) *terminal* if $a_i > 0$ for all f-exceptional divisors F_i and all f.

Usually we write X instead of $(X, 0)$ in the case $B = 0$. Note that 0-klt (resp. 0-lc) is just klt (resp. lc) in the usual sense. F_i is called a *non-klt place* of (X, B) if $a_i \leq -1$. A subvariety $V \subset X$ is called a *non-klt center* of (X, B) if it is the image of a non-klt place. The *non-klt locus* $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, B)$ is the union of all non-klt centers of (X, B).

Let (X, B) be an lc pair and $D \geq 0$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. The *log canonical threshold* of D with respect to (X, B) is

$$\mathrm{lct}(X, B; D) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (X, B + tD) \text{ is lc}\}.$$

For application, we need to consider the case when D is not effective. Let G be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor satisfying $G + B \geq 0$, The *generalized log canonical threshold* of G with respect to (X, B) is

$$\mathrm{glct}(X, B; G) = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \mid (X, B + tG) \text{ is lc}\}.$$

Note that the assumption $t \in [0, 1]$ guarantees that $B + tG \geq 0$.

If (X, B) is an lc log Fano pair, the *(generalized)* α-*invariant* of (X, B) is defined by

$$\alpha(X, B) = \inf\{\mathrm{glct}(X, B; G) \mid G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + B), G + B \geq 0\}.$$

A collection of varieties $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ is said to be *bounded* (resp. *birationally bounded*) if there exists $h : \mathcal{X} \to S$ a projective morphism between schemes of finite type such that for each X_t, X_t is isomorphic (resp. birational) to $\mathcal{X}_s$ for some $s \in S$.

2.2. (n, d, a, ϵ)**-Fano fibrations.** We define Fano fibrations, Mori fibrations, and (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibrations.

Definition 2.1. A projective morphism $f : X \to Z$ between normal projective varieties is called a *Fano fibration* if

(1) X is with terminal singularities;

(2) f is a *contraction*, i.e., $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$;
(3) $-K_X$ is ample over Z;
(4) $\dim X > \dim Z$.

A Fano fibration $X \to Z$ is said to be a *Mori fibration* if X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and $\rho(X/Z) = 1$.

Note that a general fiber of a Fano fibration is a terminal Fano variety.

Definition 2.2. Fix positive integers n and d, a rational number $a \geq 0$, and $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$. An (n, d, a, ϵ)-*Fano fibration* $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ consists of a Fano fibration $f : X \to Z$, a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B on X, and a very ample divisor H on Z such that

(1) $\dim X = n$;
(2) $(H^{\dim Z}) = d$;
(3) $-(K_X + B) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A - af^*(H)$ where A is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X;
(4) (X, B) is ϵ-klt.

It is said to be *good* if moreover,

(5) if we write $B = B' + B''$ where every component of B' dominates Z and every component of B'' does not dominate Z, then $B'' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over Z.

It is said to be *special* if moreover,

(6) $\overline{NE}(X) = NE(X)$.

Here if $\dim Z = 0$, we always set $H = 0$ and $d = (H^{\dim Z}) = 1$.

Remark 2.3. Conditions (5) and (6) seem to be a little technical, but very natural. Condition (5) holds if X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and $\rho(X/Z) = 1$, and condition (6) holds if (X, B) is a klt log Fano pair by Cone Theorem (cf. [20, Theorem 3.7]). In this paper, conditions (6) will be only used in the proof of Lemma 3.2, and conditions (5) will be only used for LCTB_n.

In particular, if (X, B) is an ϵ-klt log Fano pair with a Mori fibration $f : X \to Z$, then $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is naturally a special $(n, d, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration for any very ample divisor H on Z with $d = (H^{\dim Z})$.

Remark 2.4. Suppose $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is an (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibration. Then a general fiber F of f is a terminal Fano variety and $(F, B|_F)$ is an ϵ-klt log Fano pair. Hence $(F \to f(F), B|_F, 0)$ is naturally a special $(n - m, 1, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration where $m = \dim Z$.

Suppose that $\dim Z > 1$. Take a general element $Z_1 \in |H|$. Denote $X_1 = f^*(Z_1) \in |f^*(H)|$, $B_1 = B|_{X_1}$, and $H_1 = H|_{Z_1}$. Then X_1 and Z_1 are projective normal varieties, X_1 is again terminal, and the induced map $f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1$ is a Fano fibration. Moreover, $(H_1^{\dim Z_1}) = (H^{\dim Z}) = d$,

$$-(K_{X_1} + B_1) = -(K_X + B + X_1)|_{X_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A|_{X_1} - (a + 1)f_1^*(H_1),$$

and (X_1, B_1) is ϵ-klt (cf. [20, Lemma 5.17]). Hence $(f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1, B_1, H_1)$ is an $(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. Note that if $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is good, so is $(f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1, B_1, H_1)$ since $B_1 = B'|_{X_1} + B''|_{X_1}$ satisfies condition (5) for general X_1 in $|f^*(H)|$.

2.3. **Volumes.** Let X be an n-dimensional projective variety and D be a Cartier divisor on X. The *volume* of D is the real number

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X,D)=\limsup_{m\to\infty}\frac{h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(mD))}{m^n/n!}.$$

Note that the limsup is actually a limit. Moreover by the homogenous property of volumes, we can extend the definition to $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors. Note that if D is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, then $\mathrm{Vol}(X,D)=D^n$. If D is a non-$\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors, we may take a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorialization of X, i.e., a birational morphism $\phi: Y\to X$ which is isomorphic in codimension one and Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, then $\mathrm{Vol}(X,D):=\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\phi_*^{-1}D)$. Note that $\mathbb{Q}$-factorializations always exist for klt pairs (cf. [5, Theorem 1.4.3]).

For more background on volumes, see [21, 11.4.A]. It is easy to see the following inequality for volumes.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a projective normal variety, D a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and S a semi-ample normal Cartier prime divisor. Then for any rational number $q>0$,*

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X,D+qS)\le\mathrm{Vol}(X,D)+q(\dim X)\mathrm{Vol}(S,D|_S+qS|_S).$$

Proof. For sufficiently divisible m such that $mq\in\mathbb{Z}$ and an integer k, consider the short exact sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{O}_X(mD+(k-1)S)\to\mathcal{O}_X(mD+kS)\to\mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S+kS|_S)\to 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(mD+kS))\\&\le h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(mD+(k-1)S))+h^0(S,\mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S+kS|_S)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}&h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(mD+mqS))\\&\le h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(mD))+\sum_{k=1}^{mq}h^0(S,\mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S+kS|_S))\\&\le h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(mD))+mqh^0(S,\mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S+mqS|_S)).\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\frac{m^{\dim X}}{(\dim X)!}$ and taking limit, we get the inequality. □

2.4. **Non-klt centers, connectedness lemma, and inversion of adjunction.** The following lemma suggests a standard way to construct non-klt centers.

Lemma 2.6 (cf. [20, Lemma 2.29])**.** *Let (X,B) be a pair and $V\subset X$ be a closed subvariety of codimesion k such that V is not contained in the singular locus of X. If $\mathrm{mult}_V B\ge k$, then V is a non-klt center of (X,B).*

Recall that the *multiplicity* $\mathrm{mult}_V F$ of a divisor F along a subvariety V is defined by the multiplicity $\mathrm{mult}_x F$ of F at a general point $x\in V$.

Unfortunately, the converse of Lemma 2.6 is not true unless $k=1$. Usually we do not have good estimations for the multiplicity along a non-klt center but the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7 (cf. [21, Theorem 9.5.13]). *Let (X, B) be a pair and $V \subset X$ be a non-klt center of (X, B) such that V is not contained in the singular locus of X. Then* $\mathrm{mult}_V B \geq 1$.

If we assume some simple normal crossing condition on the boundary, we can get more information on the multiplicity along a non-klt center. For simplicity, we just consider surfaces.

Lemma 2.8 (cf. [23, 4.1 Lemma]). *Fix $0 < e < 1$. Let S be a smooth surface, B be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, and D be a (not necessarily effective) simple normal crossing supported $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Assume that coefficients of D are at most e and* $\mathrm{mult}_P B \leq 1 - e$ *for some point P, then for arbitrary divisor E centered on P over S, $a_E(S, B + D) \geq -e$. In particular, if V is a non-klt center of $(S, B + D)$ and coefficients of D are at most e, then* $\mathrm{mult}_V B > 1 - e$.

Proof. By taking a sequence of point blow-ups, we can get the divisor E. Consider the blow-up at P, we have $f : S_1 \to S$ with $K_{S_1} + B_1 + D_1 + mE_1 = f^*(K_S + B + D)$ where B_1 and D_1 are the strict transforms of B and D respectively, and E_1 is the exceptional divisor with coefficient $m = \mathrm{mult}_P(B + D) - 1 \leq 1 - e + 2e - 1 = e$ since $\mathrm{mult}_P(D) \leq 2e$. Now $D_1 + mE_1$ is again simple normal crossing supported and $\mathrm{mult}_Q B_1 \leq \mathrm{mult}_P B$ for $Q \in E_1$. Hence by induction on the number of blow-ups, we conclude that the coefficient of E is at most e and hence $a_E(S, B + D) \geq -e$. □

We have the following connectedness lemma of Kollár and Shokurov for non-klt locus (cf. Shokurov [27], Kollár [17, 17.4]).

Theorem 2.9 (Connectedness Lemma). *Let $f : X \to Z$ be a proper morphism of normal varieties with connected fibers and D is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $-(K_X + D)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, f-nef and f-big. Write $D = D^+ - D^-$ where D^+ and D^- are effective with no common components. If D^- is f-exceptional (i.e. all of its components have image of codimension at least 2), then* $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, D) \cap f^{-1}(z)$ *is connected for any $z \in Z$.*

Remark 2.10. There are two main cases of interest of Connectedness Lemma:

(1) Z is a point and (X, D) is a log Fano pair. Then $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, D)$ is connected.
(2) $f : X \to Z$ is birational, (Z, B) is a log pair and $K_X + D = f^*(K_Z + B)$.

As an application, we have the following theorem on inversion of adjunction (cf. [20, Theorem 5.50]). Here we only use a weak version.

Theorem 2.11 (Inversion of adjunction). *Let (X, B) be a pair and $S \subset X$ a normal Cartier divisor not contained in the support of B. Then*

$$\mathrm{Nklt}(X, B) \cap S \subset \mathrm{Nklt}(S, B|_S).$$

In particular, if $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, B) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, *then $(S, B|_S)$ is not klt.*

2.5. **Length of extremal rays.** Recall the result on length of extremal rays due to Kawamata.

Theorem 2.12 ([13])**.** *Let (X, B) be a klt pair. Then every $(K_X + B)$-negative extremal ray R is generated by a rational curve C such that*

$$0 < -(K_X + B) \cdot C \leq 2 \dim X.$$

However, we need to deal with non-klt pairs in application. We prove a slightly generalization of this theorem for non-klt pairs.

Theorem 2.13. *Let (X, B) be a pair. Fix a $(K_X + B)$-negative extremal ray R, assume that*

(1) *R is generated by a curve;*
(2) *Every curve generating R is not contained in* $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, B)$.

Then R is generated by a rational curve C such that

$$0 < -(K_X + B) \cdot C \leq 2 \dim X.$$

Proof. By [5, Corollary 1.4.4], there is a birational morphism $\pi : Y \to X$, where Y is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, such that $K_Y + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = \pi^*(K_X + B)$, where (Y, Γ_1) is klt, $K_Y + \Gamma_1$ is nef over X, and every component of Γ_2 has coefficient at least one. In particular, $\pi(\mathrm{Supp}(\Gamma_2)) = \mathrm{Nklt}(X, B)$.

Since $\pi_* : \overline{NE}(Y) \to \overline{NE}(X)$ is surjective and R is an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X)$, $\pi_*^{-1}(R)$ is an extremal face of $\overline{NE}(Y)$.

By assumption (1), there exists a curve C_0 generating R. Take a curve C_0' on Y such that $\pi(C_0') = C_0$. Then $(K_Y + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2) \cdot C_0' < 0$. On the other hand, $C_0' \not\subset \mathrm{Supp}(\Gamma_2)$ by assumption (2), and hence $\Gamma_2 \cdot C_0' \geq 0$. Hence $(K_Y + \Gamma_1) \cdot C_0' < 0$. This implies that $\pi_*^{-1}(R) \cap \overline{NE}(Y)_{(K_Y + \Gamma_1) < 0} \neq \{0\}$. Since $\pi_*^{-1}(R)$ is an extremal face, there exists a $(K_Y + \Gamma_1)$-negative extremal ray $R' \subset \pi_*^{-1}(R)$. Since $K_Y + \Gamma_1$ is nef over X, $\pi_* R' \neq \{0\}$, and hence $\pi_* R' = R$. By Theorem 2.12, R' is generated by a rational curve C' such that

$$0 < -(K_Y + \Gamma_1) \cdot C' \leq 2 \dim X.$$

Hence R is generated by the rational curve $C = \pi(C')$. By assumption (2) again, $C' \not\subset \mathrm{Supp}(\Gamma_2)$ and $\Gamma_2 \cdot C' \geq 0$, and hence

$$-(K_X + B) \cdot C \leq -(K_Y + \Gamma_1) \cdot C' \leq 2 \dim X.$$

We complete the proof. □

3. Boundedness of birationality

In this section, we prove the first part of Theorem 1.4, on boundedness of ampleness and birationality.

Theorem 3.1. *Fix integers $n > m > 0$ and $d > 0$, a rational number $a \geq 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Assume* LCTB_{n-1} *and* BrTBAB_{n-m} *hold. Then there exists an integer $N(n, d, a, \epsilon)$ depending only on n, d, a, and ϵ, satisfying the following property:*

If $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is a special (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibration with $\dim Z = m$*, then $|-r_{n-m}K_X + N(n, d, a, \epsilon) f^*(H)|$ is ample and gives a birational map.*

The proof splits into two parts as the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Fix integers $n > 0$ and $d > 0$, a rational number $a \geq 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Assume* LCTB_{n-1} *holds. Then there exists a number $N'(n, d, a, \epsilon)$ depending only on n, d, a, and ϵ, satisfying the following property:*

If $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is a special (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibration with $\dim Z > 0$*, then $-K_X + kf^*(H)$ is ample for all $k \geq N'(n, d, a, \epsilon)$.*

Proof. Let $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ be a special (n, d, a, ϵ)-Fano fibration with $\dim Z > 0$. According to LCTB_{n-1}, we may take

$$t_0 = \min\{\lambda(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon), \lambda(n-1, 1, 0, \epsilon)\} > 0.$$

If $\dim Z = 1$, for a general fiber F of f, $(F \to f(F), B|_F, 0)$ is naturally a good $(n-1, 1, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration by Remark 2.4. Hence by LCTB_{n-1}, $(F, (1+t_0)B|_F)$ is klt.

If $\dim Z > 1$, by Remark 2.4, $(f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1, B_1, H_1)$ is a good $(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. Hence by LCTB_{n-1}, $(X_1, (1+t_0)B_1)$ is klt.

Hence, in either case, every curve in $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1+t_0)B)$ is contracted by f by inversion of adjunction. In particular, every curve C_0 supported in $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1+t_0)B)$ satisfies that $f^*(H) \cdot C_0 = 0$.

Now we consider an extremal ray R of $\overline{NE}(X)$. Since $\overline{NE}(X) = NE(X)$, R is always generated by a curve.

If R is $(K_X + (1+t_0)B)$-non-negative, then

$$\Big(-K_X + a\big(1+\frac{1}{t_0}\big)f^*(H)\Big) \cdot R = \big(1+\frac{1}{t_0}\big)A \cdot R + \frac{1}{t_0}(K_X + (1+t_0)B)) \cdot R > 0.$$

If R is $(K_X + (1+t_0)B)$-negative and $f^*(H) \cdot R = 0$, then $-K_X \cdot R > 0$ since $-K_X$ is ample over Z.

If R is $(K_X + (1+t_0)B)$-negative and $f^*(H) \cdot R > 0$, then every curve generating R is not supported in $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1+t_0)B)$. By Theorem 2.13, R is generated by a rational curve C such that

$$(K_X + (1+t_0)B) \cdot C \geq -2n.$$

On the other hand, $f^*(H) \cdot C \geq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(-K_X + \big(a + \frac{a+2n}{t_0}\big)f^*(H)\Big) \cdot C \\ &= \big(1+\frac{1}{t_0}\big)A \cdot C + \frac{1}{t_0}(K_X + (1+t_0)B) \cdot C + \frac{2n}{t_0}f^*(H) \cdot C > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In summary,

$$(-K_X + kf^*(H)) \cdot R > 0$$

holds for every extremal ray R and for all $k \geq a + \frac{a+2n}{t_0}$. By Kleiman's Ampleness Criterion, $-K_X + kf^*(H)$ is ample for all $k \geq a + \frac{a+2n}{t_0}$. We may take

$$N'(n, d, a, \epsilon) = a + \frac{a+2n}{\min\{\lambda(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon), \lambda(n-1, 1, 0, \epsilon)\}}$$

and complete the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. *Fix integers $n > m > 0$, and $L > 0$. Assume* BrTBAB_{n-m} *holds. If $f : X \to Z$ is a Fano fibration with* $\dim X = n$ *and* $\dim Z = m$*, H is a very ample divisor on Z such that $-K_X + Lf^*(H)$ is ample, then $|-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)|$ gives a birational map for all $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L + 2n - 2$.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Z$ be a Fano fibration with $\dim X = n$ and $\dim Z = m$, H a very ample divisor on Z such that $-K_X + Lf^*(H)$ is ample.

If $m = \dim Z = 1$, take a general fiber F of f, then F is a terminal Fano variety of dimension $n-m$. By BrTBAB_{n-m}, $|-r_{n-m}K_F|$ gives a birational map. For two general fibers F_1 and F_2, for an integer $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L+2$, consider the short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H))\\ \to \mathcal{O}_{F_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_2}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_2}) \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the exactness follows from the fact that X is terminal, cf. [20, Corollary 5.18, Proposition 5.26]. Since $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L+2$,

$$-(r_{n-m}+1)K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2$$

is ample, hence by Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}&H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2))\\ &= H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - (r_{n-m}+1)K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2)) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)))\\ &\to H^0(F_1, \mathcal{O}_{F_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_1})) \oplus H^0(F_2, \mathcal{O}_{F_2}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_2}))\end{aligned}$$

is surjective. Since $|-r_{n-m}K_{F_i}|$ gives a birational map on F_i for $i = 1, 2$, $|-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)|$ gives a birational map on X for all $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L+2$. Note that $n \geq 2$, the lemma is proved in this case.

Now suppose that $\dim Z > 1$. Recall the construction in Remark 2.4, take a general element $Z_1 \in |H|$, denote $X_1 = f^*(Z_1) \in |f^*(H)|$, $B_1 = B|_{X_1}$, and $H_1 = H|_{Z_1}$. Then $f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1$ is a Fano fibration with $\dim X_1 = n-1$ and $\dim Z_1 = m-1$, H_1 is a very ample divisor on Z_1 such that

$$-K_{X_1} + (L+1)f_1^*(H_1) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (-K_X + Lf^*(H))|_{X_1}$$

is ample. By induction on m, we may assume that $|-r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + kf_1^*(H_1)|$ gives a birational map for all $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)(L+1) + 2n - 4$.

For an integer $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L + 2n - 3$, consider the short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + (k-1)f^*(H)) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H))\\ \to \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + (k+r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1)) \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L+1$, $-(r_{n-m}+1)K_X + (k-1)f^*(H)$ is ample, hence by Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}&H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + (k-1)f^*(H)))\\ &= H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - (r_{n-m}+1)K_X + (k-1)f^*(H))) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)))\\ &\to H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + (k+r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1)))\end{aligned}$$

is surjective. By induction hypothesis, $|-r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + (k+r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1)|$ gives a birational map on X_1 since

$$k + r_{n-m} \geq (r_{n-m}+1)(L+1) + 2n - 4.$$

In particular, $|-r_{n-m}K_X+kf^*(H)|\neq\emptyset$. Hence $|-r_{n-m}K_X+(k+1)f^*(H)|$ can separate general elements in $|f^*(H)|$, and

$$|-r_{n-m}K_X+(k+1)f^*(H)||_{X_1}=|-r_{n-m}K_{X_1}+(k+1+r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1)|$$

gives a birational map on X_1, which is a general element in $|f^*(H)|$. This implies that $|-r_{n-m}K_X+(k+1)f^*(H)|$ gives a birational map for all $k\geq(r_{n-m}+1)L+2n-3$.

We complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. We may take $N(n,d,a,\epsilon)=(r_{n-m}+1)\lceil N'(n,d,a,\epsilon)\rceil+2n-2$. □

4. Boundedness of volumes

In this section, we prove the second part of Theorem 1.4, on boundedness of volumes. We follow the idea in [12].

Theorem 4.1. *Fix integers $n>m>0$ and $d>0$, a rational number $a\geq 0$, $0<\epsilon<1$. Assume GA_{n-m} holds. Then there exists a number $V'(n,d,a,\epsilon,k)$ depending only on n, d, a, ϵ, and $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, satisfying the following property:*

If $(f:X\to Z,B,H)$ is an (n,d,a,ϵ)-Fano fibration, then $\mathrm{Vol}(X,-K_X+kf^*(H))\leq V'(n,d,a,\epsilon,k)$.

Remark 4.2. Before proving the theorem, we remark that GA_n implies the boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of terminal Fano variety of dimension n. In fact, let Y be a terminal Fano variety of dimension n, then $(Y,0)$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-klt log Fano pair. By GA_n, $\alpha(Y,0)\geq\mu(n,\frac{1}{2})>0$. On the other hand, it is well-known that $\alpha(Y,0)\cdot\sqrt[n]{(-K_Y)^n}\leq n$ (cf. [16, 6.7.1]). Hence $(-K_Y)^n$ is bounded from above uniformly. We denote the bound to be $M_0'(n)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $(f:X\to Z,B,H)$ be an (n,d,a,ϵ)-Fano fibration.

If $m=\dim Z=1$, for a general fiber F of f, F is a terminal Fano variety of dimension $n-m$. By Remark 4.2, $\mathrm{Vol}(F,-K_F)\leq M_0'(n-m)$. Fix $k\geq 0$, assume that for some $w>0$,

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X,-K_X+kf^*(H))>n(dk+w)M_0'(n-m).$$

It suffices to find an upper bound for w. We may assume that $w>2$. Note that $f^*(H)\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}dF$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Vol}(X,-K_X-wF)\\&\geq\mathrm{Vol}(X,-K_X+kf^*(H))-n(dk+w)\mathrm{Vol}(F,-K_F)>0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B'\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-K_X-wF$. For two general fibers F_1 and F_2, consider the pair $(X,(1-s)B+sB'+F_1+F_2)$ where $s=\frac{ad+2}{ad+w}<1$. Note that

$$-(K_X+(1-s)B+sB'+F_1+F_2)\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}-(1-s)A$$

is ample. By Connectedness Lemma, $\mathrm{Nklt}(X,(1-s)B+sB'+F_1+F_2)$ is connected. On the other hand, it contains $F_1\cup F_2$, hence contains a non-klt center dominating Z. By inversion of adjunction, $(F,(1-s)B|_F+sB'|_F)$ is not klt for a general fiber F. On the other hand, $(F,B|_F)$ is an ϵ-klt log Fano

pair of dimension $n-m$, F is a terminal Fano variety, and $B'|_F - B|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_F + B|_F)$. Hence by GA_{n-m},

$$s \geq \mathrm{glct}(F, B|_F; B'|_F - B|_F) \geq \mu(n-m, \epsilon).$$

Hence $w \leq \frac{ad+2}{\mu(n-m,\epsilon)} - ad$. In this case, we may take

$$V'(n,d,a,\epsilon,k) = n\Big(dk + \frac{ad+2}{\mu(n-m,\epsilon)} - ad\Big)M_0'(n-m).$$

Now assume that $\dim Z > 1$. As constructed in Remark 2.4, $(f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1, B_1, H_1)$ is an $(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. By induction, we may assume that $\mathrm{Vol}(X_1, -K_{X_1} + kf_1^*(H_1)) \leq V'(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon, k)$. Fix $k \geq 0$, assume that for some $w > 0$,

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) > n(k+w)V'(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon, k+1).$$

It suffices to find an upper bound for w. We may assume that $w > m+1$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X - wX_1)\\
&\geq \mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) - n(k+w)\mathrm{Vol}(X_1, -K_X|_{X_1} + kf^*(H)|_{X_1})\\
&= \mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) - n(k+w)\mathrm{Vol}(X_1, -K_{X_1} + (k+1)f_1^*(H_1)) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X - wX_1$. Take $s = \frac{a+m+1}{a+w} < 1$. For a general fiber F_1 over $z_1 \in Z$, then there exists a number $\delta > 0$ (cf. [16, 4.8]) such that for any general $H' \in |H|$ containing z_1,

$$\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB') = \mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + \delta f^*(H')).$$

We may take general $H^j \in |H|$ containing z_1 for $1 \leq j \leq J$ with $J > \frac{m}{\delta}$ and take $G_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{m}{J} f^*(H^j)$. Then $\mathrm{mult}_{F_1} G_1 \geq m$ and $G_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} mf^*(H) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} mX_1$. In particular, (X, G_1) is not klt at F_1 and by construction, in a neighborhood of F_1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB') \cup F_1\\
&= \mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Take a general element $G_2 \in |f^*(H)|$, consider the pair $(X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2)$ where $s = \frac{a+m+1}{a+w} < 1$. Then

$$-(K_X + (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(1-s)A$$

is ample. Since

$$F_1 \cup G_2 \subset \mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2),$$

by Connectedness Lemma, there is a curve C contained in $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2)$, intersecting F_1 and not contracted by f. Hence C is contained in $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB')$ by the construction of G_1. Since C intersects F_1 which is a general fiber over Z, $\mathrm{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB')$ dominates Z. By inversion of adjunction, $(F, (1-s)B|_F + sB'|_F)$ is not klt for a general fiber F. On the other hand, $(F, B|_F)$ is an ϵ-klt log Fano pair of dimension $n-m$ and F is a terminal Fano variety. Hence by GA_{n-m},

$$s \geq \mathrm{glct}(F, B|_F, B'|_F - B|_F) \geq \mu(n-m, \epsilon).$$

Hence $w \leq \frac{a+m+1}{\mu(n-m,\epsilon)} - a$. We may take

$$V'(n,d,a,\epsilon,k) = n\Big(k + \frac{a+m+1}{\mu(n-m,\epsilon)} - a\Big)V'(n-1,d,a+1,\epsilon,k+1)$$

inductively, and complete the proof. □

5. Lower bound of log canonical thresholds in dimension two

In this section, we consider LCTB_2. Firstly, we prove the following general theorem for surfaces. The basic idea of proof comes from [3] and [12], but we are in a totally different situation from [12].

Theorem 5.1. *Fix $m > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $\lambda'(m,\epsilon) > 0$ depending only on m and ϵ satisfying the following property:*

If T is a projective smooth surface and $B = \sum_i b_i B^i$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on T such that

1. *(T,B) is ϵ-klt, but $(T,(1+t)B)$ is not klt for some $t > 0$;*
2. *$K_T + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} G - A$ where A is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and G is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on T;*
3. *$\sum_i b_i \leq m$;*
4. *$(B)^2 \leq m$, $B \cdot G \leq m$;*
5. *$\mathrm{mult}_Q B \leq m$ for all $Q \in T$.*

Then $t > \lambda'(m,\epsilon)$.

Proof. Take (T,B) as in the theorem. Since (T,B) is ϵ-klt, $b_i < 1-\epsilon$ for all i. We may assume that $t < \epsilon$, and hence $(1+t)b_i < 1$ for all i. Since $(T,(1+t)B)$ is not klt, it has isolated non-klt centers. We may take a sequence of point blow-ups

$$T_r \to T_{r-1} \to \cdots \to T_1 \to T_0 = T$$

where $T_{k+1} \to T_k$ is the blow-up at a non-klt center $P_k \in \mathrm{Nklt}(T_k, (1+t)B_k + E_k)$ where B_k is the strict transform of B on T_k and

$$K_{T_k} + (1+t)B_k + E_k = \pi_k^*(K_T + (1+t)B),$$

where $\pi_k : T_k \to T$ is the composition map and E_k is a π_k-exceptional $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. For $k \geq l$, denote $\pi_{k,l}$ to be the composition map $T_k \to T_l$ and E_k^l be the strict transform of the exceptional divisor E^l of $\pi_{l,l-1}$ on T_k. Then we can write $E_k = \sum_{l=1}^k e_l E_k^l$. For $l \geq 1$, since P_{l-1} is a non-klt center of $(T_{l-1}, (1+t)B_{l-1} + E_{l-1})$,

$$e_l = \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}}((1+t)B_{l-1} + E_{l-1}) - 1 \geq 0.$$

We stop this process at T_r if $\lfloor E_r \rfloor \neq 0$. Furthermore, we may assume that $\mathrm{mult}_{P_k} B_k$ is non-increasing. Write

$$K_{T_k} + B_k + E_k' = \pi_k^*(K_T + B),$$

where $E_k' = \sum_{l=1}^k e_l' E_k^l$, note that e_l' may be negative. Take the integer s such that

$$s = \max\{k \leq r \mid \mathrm{mult}_{P_{k-1}} B_{k-1} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } e_l' > -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \text{ for all } l \leq k\}.$$

Recall that $B_s = \sum_i b_i B_s^i$ with $0 \leq b_i < 1-\epsilon$ where B_s^i is the strict transform of B^i on T_s for all i.

Claim 1. $(B_s^i)^2 \geq -\frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon}G \cdot B^i - \frac{\epsilon}{4}\sum_{l=1}^{s} \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1}^i$ *for all* i.

Proof. Suppose that $(B_s^i)^2 < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
-2 \leq 2p_a(B_s^i) - 2 &= (K_{T_s} + B_s^i) \cdot B_s^i \\
&= \epsilon (B_s^i)^2 + (K_{T_s} + (1-\epsilon)B_s^i) \cdot B_s^i \\
&\leq \epsilon (B_s^i)^2 + (K_{T_s} + B_s + E_s') \cdot B_s^i - E_s' \cdot B_s^i \\
&= \epsilon (B_s^i)^2 + (K_T + B) \cdot B^i - \sum_{l=1}^{s} e_l' E_s^l \cdot B_s^i \\
&\leq \epsilon (B_s^i)^2 + G \cdot B^i + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} E_s^l \cdot B_s^i \\
&\leq \epsilon (B_s^i)^2 + G \cdot B^i + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} E_s^l \cdot \pi_{s,l}^* B_l^i \\
&= \epsilon (B_s^i)^2 + G \cdot B^i + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} E^l \cdot B_l^i \\
&= \epsilon (B_s^i)^2 + G \cdot B^i + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1}^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we proved the claim. □

Now we can give an upper bound for s.
On T_s, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(B_s)^2 = (\sum_i b_i B_s^i)^2 &\geq \sum_i b_i^2 (B_s^i)^2 \\
&\geq \sum_i b_i^2 \Big(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} G \cdot B^i - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1}^i \Big) \\
&\geq \sum_i b_i \Big(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} G \cdot B^i - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1}^i \Big) \\
&\geq -\frac{2m}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} G \cdot B - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1} \\
&\geq -\frac{3m}{\epsilon} - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $(B_s)^2 = (B)^2 - \sum_{l=1}^{s} (\mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1})^2$ and $(B)^2 \leq m$. Hence

$$m + \frac{3m}{\epsilon} \geq \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1} \big(\mathrm{mult}_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1} - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \big) \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} s$$

by the assumption $\mathrm{mult}_{P_k} B_k \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for $k < s$. Hence

$$s < \frac{32m}{\epsilon^3}.$$

Claim 2. *There exists a point* Q_s *on* T_s *such that* $\mathrm{mult}_{Q_s} \pi_s^*(tB) \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$.

Proof. Consider the pair $(T_s, (1+t)B_s + E_s)$. Note that E_s is simple normal crossing supported.

Assume that there exists a curve E with coefficient at least $1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$ in E_s, that is,

$$\mathrm{mult}_E(K_{T_s} - \pi_s^*(K_T + (1+t)B)) \leq -1 + \frac{3\epsilon}{4}.$$

On the other hand, since (T, B) is ϵ-klt,

$$\mathrm{mult}_E(K_{T_s} - \pi_s^*(K_T + B)) > -1 + \epsilon.$$

Hence $\mathrm{mult}_E \pi_s^*(tB) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$ and we can take any point $Q_s \in E$.

If all coefficients of E_s are smaller than $1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$, then $s < r$ and P_s is a non-klt center of $(T_s, (1+t)B_s + E_s)$. By Lemma 2.8, $\mathrm{mult}_{P_s}((1+t)B_s) \geq \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$. Since we need a lower bound for t, we may assume that $t < \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathrm{mult}_{P_s} B_s \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Therefore, by the maximality of s, $e'_{s+1} \leq -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$. Then

$$\mathrm{mult}_{P_s} \pi_s^*(tB) = \mathrm{mult}_{E_{s+1}} \pi_{s+1}^*(tB) = e_{s+1} - e'_{s+1} \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}.$$

We can take $Q_s = P_s$.

We proved the claim. □

By Claim 2, it follows that $\mathrm{mult}_{Q_0}(tB) \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{2^{s+2}}$ where $Q_0 = \pi_s(Q_s)$ (cf. [12, Section 5, Claim 4]). Recall that $\mathrm{mult}_{Q_0}(B) \leq m$ by assumption. Combining with the inequality $s < \frac{32m}{\epsilon^3}$, we have

$$t > \frac{\epsilon^2}{2^{32m/\epsilon^3 + 2} m},$$

and hence we may take this number to be $\lambda'(m, \epsilon)$. □

As an application, we can prove LCTB_2 now.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $(f : T \to Z, B, H)$ be a good $(2, d, a, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. There are two cases, $\dim Z = 0$ or 1.

(1) Suppose that $\dim Z = 0$. Then T is a smooth del Pezzo surface, (T, B) is ϵ-klt, and $-(K_T + B)$ is ample. Note that $-K_T$ is ample, $-3K_T$ is very ample, and $(-K_T)^2 \leq 9$ for the del Pezzo surface T. Write $B = \sum_i b_i B^i$, fix a point $Q \in T$, then

$$\sum_i b_i \leq B \cdot (-K_T) \leq (-K_T)^2 \leq 9;$$
$$(B)^2 \leq (-K_T)^2 \leq 9;$$
$$\mathrm{mult}_Q B \leq B \cdot (-3K_T) \leq 3(-K_T)^2 \leq 27.$$

Apply Theorem 5.1 for $G = 0$, then $(T, (1+t)B)$ is klt for all $0 < t \leq \lambda'(27, \epsilon)$.

(2) Suppose that $\dim Z = 1$. By assumption, (T, B) is ϵ-klt and

$$K_T + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -A + af^*(H) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -A + adF,$$

where A is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on T and F is a general fiber of f. Moreover, $-K_T$ is ample over Z, that is, $f : T \to Z$ is a *conic bundle* such that all fibers are plane conics, a smooth fiber is a smooth rational curve, and a singular fiber is the union of two lines intersecting at one point. Note that the assumption that $(f : T \to Z, B, H)$ is good implies that we may write

$B = \sum_i b_i B^i + \sum_j c_j F^j$, where B^i is a curve not contained in a fiber for all i, and F^j is a whole fiber for all j. This condition is crucial in the following claim. Recall that $B \cdot F < (-K_T) \cdot F = 2$ and $(-K_T)^2 \leq 8$ for the conic bundle T.

Claim 3. $\sum_i b_i + \sum_j 2c_j \leq 2 + \frac{8+4ad}{\epsilon}$.

Proof. Firstly, we have

$$\sum_i b_i \leq \sum_i b_i B^i \cdot F = B \cdot F < 2.$$

Hence it suffices to show that $\sum_j c_j \leq \frac{4+2ad}{\epsilon}$. Assume, to the contrary, that $w = \sum_j c_j > \frac{4+2ad}{\epsilon}$, then $B - wF \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D$ for some effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D and for a general fiber F. For two general fibers F_1 and F_2, consider $(T, (1 - \frac{2+ad}{w})B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2)$, then

$$-\Big(K_T + \big(1 - \frac{2+ad}{w}\big)B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2\Big) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A$$

is ample. Note that

$$F_1 \cup F_2 \subset \mathrm{Nklt}\Big(T, \big(1 - \frac{2+ad}{w}\big)B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2\Big).$$

By Connectedness Lemma, there is a curve C in $\mathrm{Nklt}(T, (1 - \frac{2+ad}{w})B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2)$ dominating Z. Hence

$$\mathrm{mult}_C\Big(\big(1 - \frac{2+ad}{w}\big)B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D\Big) \geq 1.$$

Since (T, B) is ϵ-klt, $\mathrm{mult}_C B < 1 - \epsilon$, and hence $\mathrm{mult}_C \frac{2+ad}{w}D > \epsilon$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{mult}_C D \leq D \cdot F = B \cdot F < 2$. Hence $w < \frac{4+2ad}{\epsilon}$, a contradiction. □

Also we have

$$(B)^2 < (B + A)^2 = (adF - K_T)^2 = 4ad + (-K_T)^2 \leq 4ad + 8;$$
$$B \cdot adF < (-K_T) \cdot adF = 2ad.$$

Fix a point $Q \in T$ and denote F_Q be the fiber containing Q. Note that Q is contained in at most one F^j with $\mathrm{mult}_Q F^j \leq 2$, and hence

$$\mathrm{mult}_Q B \leq \mathrm{mult}_Q\big(\sum_i b_i B^i\big) + 2 \leq B \cdot F_Q + 2 < 4.$$

Apply Theorem 5.1 for $G = adF$ and $m = \frac{10+4ad}{\epsilon}$, then $(T, (1+t)B)$ is klt for all $0 < t \leq \lambda'(\frac{10+4ad}{\epsilon}, \epsilon)$. □

6. Proof of theorems and corollaries

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It follows from Theorems 3.1 and 4.1. We may take $N(n, d, a, \epsilon)$ as in Theorem 3.1, and take

$$V(n, d, a, \epsilon) = r_{n-m}^n \cdot V'(n, d, a, \epsilon, N(n, d, a, \epsilon))$$

as in Theorem 4.1. The birational boundedness follows easily, cf. [10, Lemma 2.4.2(2)]. □

Before proving the corollaries, we recall the following theorem proved in [12] by using Minimal Model Program.

Theorem 6.1 (cf. [12, Proof of Theorem 2.3])**.** *Fix an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Every n-dimensional variety X of ϵ-Fano type is birational to an n-dimensional variety X' of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration such that* $\mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X) \leq \mathrm{Vol}(X', -K_{X'})$.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. By Theorem 6.1, to prove BBAB_n, we only need to show the birational boundedness of varieties of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration.

Let X be an n-dimensional variety of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration $f : X \to Z$. By S_n, Z is of $\delta(n, \epsilon)$-Fano type of dimension less than n.

If $\dim Z = 0$, then X is an n-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal Fano variety of Picard number one. There is nothing to prove since we assume BTBAB_n.

Suppose that $\dim Z > 0$. Then Z is bounded by $\mathrm{BAB}_{\leq n-1}$. In particular, there exists a very ample divisor H on Z with degree d such that d is bounded from above by some number $D(n, \epsilon)$. By definition, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B such that (X, B) is an ϵ-klt log Fano pair. Hence $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is a special $(n, d, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. Since $\mathrm{BrTBAB}_{\leq n-1}$ is implied by $\mathrm{BAB}_{\leq n-1}$, by Theorem 1.4, for fixed d, such X forms a birationally bounded family. Since d has only finitely many possible values, we complete the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.6. By Theorem 6.1, to prove WBAB_n, we only need to show the boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of varieties of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration.

Let X be an n-dimensional variety of ϵ-Fano type with a Mori fibration $f : X \to Z$. By S_n, Z is of $\delta(n, \epsilon)$-Fano type of dimension less than n.

If $\dim Z = 0$, then X is an n-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal Fano variety of Picard number one. There is nothing to prove since we assume WTBAB_n.

Suppose that $\dim Z > 0$. Then Z is bounded by $\mathrm{BAB}_{\leq n-1}$. In particular, there exists a very ample divisor H on Z with degree d such that d is bounded from above by some number $D(n, \epsilon)$. By definition, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B such that (X, B) be an ϵ-klt log Fano pair. Hence $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is a special $(n, d, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. By Theorem 4.1, $\mathrm{Vol}(X, -K_X) \leq V'(n, d, 0, \epsilon, 0)$. Since d has only finitely many possible values, we complete the proof. □

Proof of Corollaries 1.8 and 1.9. BAB_2 was proved by Alexeev [1], BTBAB_3 and WTBAB_3 are implied by TBAB_3 which was proved by Kawamata [14], LCTB_2 holds by Theorem 1.7, and GA_2 was proved in [12, Theorem 2.8].

Finally, we show that S_3 is implied by [4, Corollary 1.7] (cf. [12, Theorem 6.3]). Consider an ϵ-klt log Fano pair (X, B) of dimension 3 with a Mori fibration $X \to Z$. If $\dim Z \leq 1$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\dim Z = 2$, then there exist effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors Δ and Δ' such that (Z, Δ) is klt, $-(K_Z + \Delta)$ is ample by [8, Corollary 3.3], and (Z, Δ') is δ-klt, $-(K_Z + \Delta') \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ by [4, Corollary 1.7]. Note that δ depends only on ϵ. We may choose sufficiently small $t > 0$ such that $(Z, (1-t)\Delta' + t\Delta)$ is still δ-klt. In this case,

$$-(K_Z + (1-t)\Delta' + t\Delta) \sim -t(K_Z + \Delta)$$

is ample. Hence Z is of δ-Fano type.

As all the conjectures we need are confirmed in lower dimension, BBAB_3 and WBAB_3 hold by Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6. □

References

[1] V. Alexeev, *Boundedness and K^2 for log surfaces*, Internat. J. Math. **5** (1994), 779–810.

[2] V. Alexeev, M. Brion, *Boundedness of spherical Fano varieties*, The Fano Conference, 69–80, Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004.

[3] V. Alexeev, S. Mori, *Bounding singular surfaces of general type*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry with applications (West Lafayette, IN, 2000), pp. 143–174, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[4] C. Birkar, *Singularities on the base of a Fano type fibration*, J. Reine Angew Math., to appear.

[5] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, J. M^{c}Kernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 405–468.

[6] A. Borisov, L. Borisov, *Singular toric Fano three-folds*, Mat. Sb. **183** (1992), 134–141.

[7] M. Chen, C. Jiang, *On the anti-canonical geometry of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds*, J. Differential Geom., to appear.

[8] O. Fujino, Y. Gongyo, *On images of weak Fano manifolds*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), 531–544.

[9] C. D. Hacon, J. M^{c}Kernan, *Boundedness of pluricanonical maps of varieties of general type*, Invent. Math. **166** (2006), 1–25.

[10] C. D. Hacon, J. M^{c}Kernan, C. Xu, *On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type*, Ann. of Math. **177** (2013), 1077–1111.

[11] C. Hacon, J. M^{c}Kernan, C. Xu, *ACC for log canonical thresholds*, Ann. of Math. **180** (2014), 523–571.

[12] C. Jiang, *Boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of singular log Fano threefolds*, arXiv:1411.6728, preprint.

[13] Y. Kawamata, *On the length of an extremal rational curve*, Invent. Math. **105** (1991), 609–611.

[14] Y. Kawamata, *Boundedness of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, Part 3 (Novosibirsk, 1989), pp. 439–445, Contemp. Math., 131, Part 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[15] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, pp. 283–360, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[16] J. Kollár, *Singularities of pairs*, Algebraic geometry–Santa Cruz 1995, pp. 221–287, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 62, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[17] J. Kollár, et al, *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, A summer seminar at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, 1991, Astérisque, **211** (1992)

[18] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori, *Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), 765–779.

[19] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori, H. Takagi, *Boundedness of canonical $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-folds*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **76** (2000), 73–77.

[20] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge tracts in mathematics, 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[21] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry, II.* Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb, 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], 49. Berlin: Springer 2004. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.

[22] J. Lin, *Birational unboundedness of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **6** (2003), 301–312.

[23] J. M^{c}Kernan, *Boundedness of log terminal Fano pairs of bounded index*, arXiv:0205214v1.

[24] T. Okada, *On the birational unboundedness of higher dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano varieties*, Math. Ann., **345** (2009), 195–212.
[25] T. Okada, *Birational unboundedness of log terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano varieties and rationally connected strict Mori fiber spaces*, RIMS preprint No. 1706, 2009.
[26] Y. Prokhorov, *The degree of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano threefolds*, Sb. Mat. **198** (2007), 1683–1702.
[27] V. V. Shokurov, *3-fold log flips*, Izv. A. N. SSSR, Ser. Math. **56** (1992), 105–201 & **57** (1993), 141–175; English transl. Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 93–202 & **43** (1994), 527–558.
[28] S. Takayama, *Pluricanonical systems on algebraic varieties of general type*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 551–587.
[29] H. Tsuji, *Pluricanonical systems of projective varieties of general type, I, II,* Osaka J. Math. **43** (2006), 967–995; Osaka J. Math. **44** (2007),723–764.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.
E-mail address: cjiang@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp